

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,766	10/02/2000	Albrecht Dorschner	Beiersdorf 657-KGR	5682
75	90 05/21/2002	†		
NORRIS MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A. 220 EAST 42ND STREET			EXAMINER	
30TH FLOOR		-	GOLLAMUDI, SHARMILA S	
NEW YORK, N	Y 10017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		4	1616	****
		,	DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astis 0	09/678,766	DORSCHNER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sharmila S. Gollamudi	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pp ars on the cov r sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti 2.136(a). In no event, however, and the cause the application to become ARANDONIA.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	5 February 2002				
	This action is non-final.				
		resocution so to the modity is			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. osition of Claims					
	 ✓ Claim(s) 4-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24 and 25 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	indrawn from consideration.	•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		•			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Application	on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	_			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	ovisional application has been receilic priority under 35 U.S.C. 88 120	eived. and/or 121			
ttachment(s)	,, 220, 00 0.0.0. 33 120	MIM/ULIZI,			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> 	5) Notice of Informal D	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Trademark Office D-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 9			

Application/Control Number: 09/678,766

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

The IDS entered on January 16, 2002 is acknowledged. Amendment A, paper no. 7, is acknowledged. Claims 4-23 are included in the prosecution of this application. Claims 24 and 25 are not considered since original invention was drawn to composition claims and method/process claims require a new search.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ascione et al (5858334) are maintained.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that Ascione does not teach instant emulsifier A and cannot anticipate instant invention.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is the examiner's position that Ascione teaches emulsifier A in example 1 in instant amounts. Applicant defines emulsifier A as any compound chosen from the list provided on pages 19 and 20 of the instant specification. Fatty alcohol ethoxylates is listed as one of the choices. Therefore, since instant claims do not specify the emulsifier but rather use the term emulsifier A, it is regarded that the emulsifier list provided in the specification has the instant properties and falls within the criteria set forth by the

Application/Control Number: 09/678,766

Art Unit: 1616

applicant. Ascione in example 1 teaches a fatty alcohol ethoxylate, cetylstearyl alcohol containing ethylene oxide, in an oil-in-water emulsion.

The applicant does not address the 103(a) rejection expect to argue that Ascione does not anticipate the instant invention, which has been addressed above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Ascione et al (5858334).

Ascione et al disclose oil-in-water ultra-fine emulsions containing dihydroxyacetone. The cosmetic composition contains cetylstearyl alcohol containing ethylene oxide (3.3%) and dihydroxyacetone (5%) (see example 1 and col. 3 and 4, beginning on line 55). The oil and water phase contain other substances.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/678,766

Art Unit: 1616

Page 4

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Sharmila S. Gollamudi whose telephone number is (703) 305-2147. The examiner can be normally reached M-F from 7:30 am to 4:15pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by the telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached at (703) 308-4628. The fax number for this organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

SSG ₩₩

May 9, 2002

JOSE' G. DEES

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

16/6